INTRODUCTION

Pohansko hillfort (fortified settlement) was continuously occupied from the period of Slavic migration, in the 6th century, through to the first half of the 10th century AD. According to Macháček (2005) the function of Pohansko was protection, control and organization of long distance trade, and the professional artisan production was concentrated at Pohansko. Agglomeration of these functions and of this importance could be established only by the person of the highest authority in the country, the ruler, who had one of his residencies there. The centre of the Early Medieval agglomeration in Pohansko was so-called court of a magnate (Dostál 1975). There are several burial sites at Pohansko. The biggest cemetery is the burial ground around the Church (altogether 395 skeletons). Other smaller burial sites situated within the fortified hillfort are the Forest Arboriculture (78 skeletons) and Forest Mound (34 skeletons), outside the walls two burial sites were found – the South Outer Precincts (189 skeletons) and North-East Outer Precincts (46 skeletons).
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At the South Outer Precincts there is also evidence of a permanent presence of large troops especially equestrian troops (findings of spurs, bits). Warriors of this military troop lived at southern outer precincts with their families and maybe also with families of their servants (for example artisans, cow-herds and horse-herds etc.). Findings of agricultural tools show that, inhabitants of the settlement partly live of farming. There is also indication of home production such as the manufacture of textiles, some woodworking, manufacturing of leather and fur, and also evidences of forge production (Vignatiiová 1992).

Graves found at other burial sites did not contain weapons or equestrian equipment, but according to the finds on the settlements there different artisan activities can be proved.

Different kinds of crafts can be identified there: for example woodworking, hide treatment, broommaking, smithing, precious metal working, jewellery and textile production (Macháček 2005). As indicated by the index of masculinity, at these sites predominate females over males (Drozdová 2001). Inhabitants of these sites belonged to the personnel of the court of magnate.

Generally these graves were poorer than those of the so-called village cemeteries and probably belonged to members of the lowest social rank (Dostál 1982).

It is presumed that the incidence of trauma is affected by the lifestyle and socio-economic standing of the given population and for this reason we have decided to compare these two social ranks.

Although the paleopathological study of Slavonic population has been the subject of many studies, there are not many studies using epidemiological approach and many of them involve only case reports, which are not correlated with the number of individuals and state of preservation of the skeletons or individual bones. To the first studies, which use the number of individuals and state of preservation of them involve only case reports, which are not correlated with many studies using epidemiological approach and many of population has been the subject of many studies, there are not these two social ranks.

It is decided to compare the lifestyle and socio-economic standing of the given population and for this reason we have decided to compare the lowest social rank (Dostál 1982).

The studied collection was excavated by the Archaeological department at Masaryk University in Brno from 1959 to 2004. The skeletal remains are currently stored at the Department of experimental biology in the molecular and biological laboratory (Drozdová 2005). All bones, except subadults, were inventoried and examined for trauma. A total of 1894 complete long bones were examined, representing the means of 352 individuals. 203 males and 149 females were observed and age at death was determined for all of them. Raw demographic distribution is in Table 1, and Figure 1 and 2 show the adult mortality pattern of males and females. The adult mortality pattern by sex (Figure 1 and 2) indicated that the peak age at death for males occurred at 30–39 years old and at 20–29 years old for females except the North-East Precincts, where the peak age at death occurred at 40–49 years old.

### MATERIAL

The long bone trauma used in evaluation of fracture among different populations have been done. We decided to use methods created by Judd (2002) and modified by Likovský et al. (2008).

The long bones were divided into three segments and because some of the bones were damaged or incomplete they were considered to be “complete” and included in the bone count only if all three segments of each element were present. Incomplete traumatized bones were considered complete and included in the analysis, non fractured partial bones were excluded from the study.

Long bones (clavicula, humerus, ulna, radius, femur, tibia, fibula) with preserved surface were observed for
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### TABLE 1. Basic demographic structure of the population from Pohansko.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Unsexed adults</th>
<th>Total adults</th>
<th>Juveniles</th>
<th>Total individuals</th>
<th>Masculine index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Burial Ground around the Church</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>1859</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The South Outer Precincts</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher social rank total</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>584</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The North-East Outer Precincts</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Forest Arboriculture</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forest Mound</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isolated Graves</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artisan community total</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>213</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
evidence of ante-mortem healed fractures (peri-mortem fractures could not be recorded for impossibility of distinguishing them from post-mortem damage). Incomplete bones with fractures and all complete bones with preserved surface formed the observable corpus.

Each bone with macroscopic signs of possible fracture (visible callus formation or angular deformity) was radiographed in anterio-posterior and medio-lateral projections (Figure 3). Detected fractures were recorded by position along the shaft. The type of fracture, the presence and result of healing were also recorded as well as possible dislocation, shortening, rotation and angulation. Metric data were obtained by macroscopic and radiographic methods. The presence of this deformity can indicate that the fracture had not been treated or had been treated ineffectively.

Evidence of nonspecific infection (periostal reaction) was also recorded, if it was associated with fracture. Photo documentation was made concurrently.

The fracture prevalence rate was calculated for each bone element, for males and females and for cemetery sites. Rates were also determined for individuals and also for long bones preserved. The whole sample was analyzed to assess the sex and age distribution of fracture observed. Age categories include: sexed skeleton to 20, 20–30 years, 30–40 years, 40–50 years, 50–60 years, 60 years and more. Skeletons of non sexed juveniles were not considered in our study also because among them there were not found bones with signs of fractures.

As a comparative sample the Slavonic population from Mikulčice (Likovský et al. 2008) was used. This sample was created by Likovský et al. (2008) and they selected burial sites located within Mikulčice castle itself where archaeologists presume the socially more powerful ranks of Great Moravian society were buried.

Chi-square tests ($\chi^2$) were used to determine statistically significant variations in the presence of fractures between the sexes and among the sites, as well as the fracture location. Yate’s correction ($\chi^2_{c}$) for continuity was applied to small samples (less than 5) the level of significance chosen was 0.05.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Table 2 presents the total number of bones fractured in Slavonic population from Pohansko u Břeclavi. The prevalence of fractures in the different burial sites examined in this study is shown in Tables 3, 4 and 5. The fracture frequency for individuals was 7.4% as 26 of the 352 individuals sustained one fracture. A total of 1,894 long bones were observed and 26 healed fractures were discovered, resulting in a long bone fracture prevalence 1.4%.
In the group of males (203 individuals), 19 fractured bones in a total of 19 individuals were found. In the population of males, this represents 9.4% individuals with fractures and 1.7% fractured bones from 1.106 long bones. In the group of females (149 individuals) a total of 7 fractures were discovered in 7 individuals. In the population of females there are 4.7% individuals with fractures and 0.9% fractured bones from a sample of 760 long bones. The distribution of fractured bones between sexes is in Table 2, 3, 4 and 5. Males comprised a greater proportion of fractures than females for all burial sites. But statistically a significant difference was not found. The statistically significant difference was identified between fractures of upper and lower limb when the upper limb was affected more often ($\chi^2 = 9.07, p = 0.0026$).

As can be seen in Table 2, in whole sample, ulnae (3.94%) and radii (2.92%) were the most affected bones, while femora (0%) were the least affected, statistically significant differences are seen in Table 6.

The mean age at death for individuals displaying fractures is 46.4 years and the most common age at death for males displaying fracture was 35–45 years old and 45–55 years old. Among females the most common age at death was 45–55 years old and over 60 years old (Figure 4).

**Type of fractures**

According to mechanism of injury we can distinguish direct and indirect fractures. The direct fracture in mechanical terms is transverse, which means a horizontal break across the bone. A force is applied at right angles to the small bone surface and the resulting fracture line is perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the bone, either by accident or by direct blow (Roberts, Manchester 2005). Typically, transverse fractures are caused by a relatively small force delivered to a small area (Lovell 1997). Indirect trauma (occurs in a place other than the point of impact) or rotational force cause oblique or spiral fractures.

The fractures may occur haphazardly, but these injuries may also be intentional or they may occur in self-defence. But in a number of cases it is difficult to determine the difference between accidental and deliberate injuries.

**Clavicular fractures**

There were identified together six clavicular fractures. More common were fractures of the left clavicle (4 fractures out of 6 on the left side) but the difference was not statistically significant ($\chi^2 = 0.16, p = 0.6863$). According to the position along the shaft 4 from 6 fractures of the clavicle occurred in the middle part of the bone (Figures 5, 6).

Clavicular fractures are the most common outcome of direct force applied to the point of the shoulder, which occur commonly in equestrian sports and cycling as a result of inertia when the horse or bicycle stops suddenly and the rider is thrown forward and lands on the unprotected shoulder (KashiKhan et al. 2009). The second peak of incidence is a fall onto an outstretched hand, typical for elderly people, and sustained during low-energy domestic falls (KashiKhan et al. 2009). Shaft fractures most commonly occur in young adults (approx. 76%), whereas lateral (approx. 20%) and medial-end fractures are more common in elderly individuals (KashiKhan et al. 2009, Višňa, Hoch 2004)). Fracture of anterior portion of the lateral end of the clavicle might have been result of direct blow to the top of the shoulder (Figure 7). Incidence of clavicle fractures is assumed to be higher in males and more often the left clavicle is affected (Stloukal, Vyhnanek 1976). The fracture of clavicle were among Slavonic population found almost in all cases on the left side, for example burial sites Mikulčice, Bilina and Libice and was discovered only among males, but there is not explanation for this finding (Stloukal, Vyhnanek 1976).
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TABLE 2. The incidence of fractures in the whole population from Pohansko.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Males</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>right</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>left</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>right</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>clavicula</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.71</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>humerus</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ulna</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8.33</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>radius</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.26</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>femur</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fibula</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>563</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>385</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 3. The incidence of fractures in the population from the Burial Ground around the Church.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Males</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>right</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>left</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>right</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>left</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>clavicula</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>humerus</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ulna</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9.76</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>radius</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>femur</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fibula</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>409</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>404</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 4. The incidence of fractures in the population from the South Outer Precincts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Males</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>right</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>left</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>right</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>left</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>clavicula</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16.67</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>humerus</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ulna</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11.11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>radius</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>femur</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fibula</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Humerus: There was found only one fracture of the humerus situated in the distal part of medial segment.
In the region of the diaphysis, fractures occur due to direct intense force (violent blow to the arm) or due to fall.
Radius: There was found altogether 7 fractures of the radius, 4 of them occurred on the left side. Four fractures could be assigned as Colle’s fractures (two of them occurred among females) (Figure 8) and one of them as Smith’s fracture (female, Figure 9). There was also found oblique fracture of proximal part of middle segment and indeterminable fracture (because of poor preservation) of distal end.
The fractures of the forearm are considered to be the most frequent localisation of fractures at Pohansko, but simultaneous fractures of both bones of the forearm were not found. Isolated fractures of radius and ulna are most often the result of a direct blow or impact. The most common radius fracture is Colles fracture. The break usually occurs about 2 cm above the distal end and distal fragment is posteriorly displaced and usually impacted. In Smith’s fracture (sometimes called reverse Colle’s fracture) is the fracture line angled that the ventral side is more proximally located (Galloway 1999) and usually is the result of falling on the forearm in supination but rotation into the pronation.
TABLE 5. The incidence of fractures in the population of lower social rank.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Males</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Females</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>right</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>left</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>clavicula</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>humerus</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.67</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ulna</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.69</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>radius</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>femur</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tibia</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fibula</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16.67</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 6. Statistically significant differences between the fracture prevalence of bones.

|         | clavicula | | | humerus | | | | ulna | | | radius | | | | femur | | | | tibia | | | | fibula | | | |
|         | $\chi^2$ p-value | | | $\chi^2$ p-value | | | | $\chi^2$ p-value | | | $\chi^2$ p-value | | | | $\chi^2$ p-value | | | | $\chi^2$ p-value | | | |
| clavicula | 2.24 | 0.1343 | 1.17 | 0.2800 | 0.25 | 0.6143 | 6.56 | 0.0104 | 2.04 | 0.1535 | 0.08 | 0.7759 |
| humerus   | 5.92 | 0.0150 | 3.73 | 0.0534 | 0.04 | 0.8401 | 0.04 | 0.8178 | 0.05 | 0.8178 | 0.61 | 0.4361 |
| ulna      |       | 0.33 | 12.87 | 0.5661 | 6.25 | 0.0000 | 0.48 | 0.0124 | 6.25 | 0.0000 | 0.48 | 0.4897 |
| radius    |       | 0.5661 | 9.19 | 3.71 | 3.71 | 0.0040 | 0.65 | 0.0541 | 0.07 | 0.0541 | 0.65 | 0.7946 |
| femur     |       |       | 9.19 | 0.0240 | 0.0240 | 0.0541 | 0.65 | 0.0541 | 0.07 | 0.0541 | 0.65 | 0.7946 |
| tibia     |       |       |       | 0.4202 | 0.4202 | 0.0541 | 0.4202 | 0.0541 | 0.07 | 0.0541 | 0.4202 | 0.0693 |
| fibula    |       |       |       |       | 0.38 | 0.0693 | 0.38 | 0.0693 | 0.07 | 0.0693 | 0.38 | 0.5388 |

FIGURE 4. Distribution of injured males and females in age categories.
with a fixed dorsiflexed hand (Ellis 1965) and it may also be
due to a direct blow to the back of the hand (Galloway
1999). Specific injuries, such as femoral head injuries or
Colles' fractures (nearly always due to a fall upon an
outstretched hand), increased with age and were the
outcome of fall-related injuries due to physiological factors,
such as weakened eyesight and arthritis, which endangered
mobility (Judd 2004). On the other hand we have to take into
account that Colles’ fracture as result of a fall could also be
the consequence of an intentional push during warfare. A
simple fracture of the radial shaft is usually a result of direct
trauma (Lovell 1997).

Localized periostal reaction was present in one case with
fractures of distal radius. Periostal reaction is evidence of
nonspecific infection, which is usually a complication of
compound fractures and can delay or prevent healing.

In three cases arthrotic changes of distal articular facet
associated with fractures were found. Ulna: 8 ulnar fractures were found altogether at
Pohansko and 7 of them occurred on the left side. Four of
them could be assigned as “parry” fractures (Figure 10), one
of them is on the right side and occurred to females. One
possible green stick fracture of diaphysis was also found.
Other ulnar fractures were oblique and situated in the distal
part of the bone.

Diaphysial fractures of the ulna can result from either
direct or indirect trauma. The fracture of the proximal shaft
associated with the dislocation of the radial head referred to
Monteggia fracture is the most common result of a direct
blow to the dorsal part of the forearm or by falling onto an
outstretched hand with forced pronation (Višňa, Hoch et al.
2004, Lovell 1997). Injuries most frequently associated with
interpersonal violence and apparent in archaeological
skeletal remains comprise, for example, of distal ulnar shaft
fractures with transverse or slightly oblique fracture lines
(“parry fractures”) deemed to be the outcome of defending a
blow intended for the head (Smith 1996, Lovell 1997, Judd
2008).

Tibia and fibula: One spiral fracture of the tibia, one
condylar compression and two oblique fractures of the fibula
were identified (Figure 11).

Diaphysial fracture of the tibia may be caused by direct
or indirect mechanism. If the mechanism of injury is an
angular force it will lead to transverse or short oblique
fractures of the shaft. Spiral fractures are the result of a
twisting force applied to a bone (Galloway 1999). Crush or
compression fractures of the joint surface are often
associated with osteoporosis causing weakness of the bone
structure (Roberts, Manchester 2005, 91), but in our case is
more likely that the origin was traumatic. A fibular fracture
is due to its anatomical position vulnerable to direct blow. A
fibular shaft fractures without associated tibial fractures are
not considered to be serious because they unite easily for the
natural splint that the tibia provides (Grauer, Roberts 1996).

According to the definition of open fracture, that the
skeletal evidence for open fracture is the superficial
infection, osteitic pitting and irregularity of bone surface
around the fracture site, or osteomyelitis (Roberts,
Manchester 2005), could be said that there were only two individuals with open fracture (males from grave 7 and 224 from The Burial Ground around the Church) at Pohansko. But it also should be taken into account that infection may have been present before the fracture occurred.

**COMPARING**

The sample from Mikulčice evaluated by Likovský et al. (2008) according to grave accessories, have given rise to the assumption that skeletons belonged to the people of elite status of the Slavonic population. Vyhnánek (1999) supposed that traumatic changes discovered at Mikulčice are probably of accidental origin. According to the Likovský et al. (2008) most of the fractures at Mikulčice could be explained by the natural conditions of the area, which was surrounded by many branches of the Morava river, that are frozen over in the winter and so represented a higher risk of falls. The fracture frequency at Pohansko was similar to at Mikulčice except the bone fractured most often, which was the clavicula at Mikulčice and the ulna at Pohansko. At Mikulčice individuals with fractures, represented 7.91% and at Pohansko 7.4%, the difference is not statistically significant. We get similar results from comparing fracture prevalence rates calculated for bone elements, at Mikulčice the fracture frequency without scapula and pelvis is 1.78% and at Pohansko 1.37% but the difference is not statistically significant.

Other comparing was possible only with the British Medieval cemetery population of St. Helen-on-the-Walls and with Late Medieval skeletal populations from Serbia, which are “closest” to our group (Grauer, Roberts 1996, Djurić et al. 2006). The frequency of affected individual bones is very low (St. Helen-on-the-Walls was 4.04% individuals with fracture and 0.8% fractured bones and skeletal population from Serbia 0.7% fractured bones) and statistically there is not a significant difference between...
these two samples. On the other hand a statistically significant difference was found between these two samples and population from Pohansko, where the fracture frequency was significantly higher. The difference could be the result of differences in selected sample, because Grauer and Roberts (1996) as well as Djurjić et al. (2006) also included non-adult individuals in their analysis.

The analysis of the type of fracture suggests that trauma was not attributed to violent activities. Males display more long bone fractures than females. As in our group fracture of the radius and ulna are considered to be the most frequent in population from St. Helen-on-the-Walls and from Serbia, but Grauer and Roberts (1996) did not focus on the frequency of fractures of the clavicle. Upper extremities were more frequently affected than lower extremities and this statistically significant difference was also observed at Pohansko.

A statistically significant difference between the population of higher and lower social rank at Pohansko was not identified. The difference between sexes of these two social ranks was not statistically significant either.

There could be several reasons why there were no differences found between the lower and higher social ranks. The identification of two different social ranks is very difficult because at the burial ground around the church and also at the South Outer precincts were found graves with abundant accessories as well as poor graves. It is possible that there were buried people of higher social rank and also their servants. It could be interesting and worthwhile to also use the presence of grave accessories for identification of social ranks. And a portion of injuries are attributed to falls over the family pet that usually result in fractures to the upper extremities; more aggressive damage is associated with falls from horses, bovine assaults and falls from animal-drawn vehicles (Judd, Roberts 1999, Björnsting et al. 1991) and it is highly probable that the members of higher and lower social ranks were both in contact with small animals as well as with horses or bovines. Also the natural condition of the area was identical for both groups.

It has to be said, that a difference between the fracture frequencies of the urban and rural samples also exists. The life at Pohansko could be comparable rather to life at urban sites than to the rural population. According to the finds at settlements farming was not common at Pohansko and also the natural conditions (floodplain of the Dyje) were not suitable for agriculture production. There arose a network of agricultural settlements in the environs of the Pohansko (Macháček 2005). As at Pohansko, trauma among townspeople was minimal as already suggested Grauer and Roberts (1996).
CONCLUSION

The aim of this study was to document and interpret healed fractures identified in the Slavonic population from Pohansko u Břeclavi 8th – 10th centuries, with a focus on differences in type of fracture and fracture rates between populations at Pohansko from the view of social stratification. Bone fractures were analyzed from 352 individuals. The fracture frequency for individuals was 7.4% as 26 of the 352 individuals sustained one fracture. Of the 26 traumatized persons, 19 were males and 7 females. A statistically significant difference was not found between males and females. In the whole sample the ulnae (3.94%) and the radii (2.92%) were the most affected bones, while the femora was the least affected. A statistically significant difference was identified between fractures of the upper and lower limbs when the upper limb was affected more often. The mean age at death for individuals displaying fractures is 46.4 years.

A statistically significant difference between the two social ranks at Pohansko was not found. The reason for this could be similar natural conditions for both groups and inappropriate identification of members of the two different social ranks.

Life at Pohansko could be comparable rather to life at urban sites than to a rural population. The analysis of the type of fractures, suggest that trauma was not attributed to violent activities.
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